

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/687,033	10/12/2000	Howard J. Glaser	STL920000062US1	8030
24852	7590 12/22/2004		EXAMINER	
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORP IP LAW 555 BAILEY AVENUE , J46/G4 SAN JOSE, CA 95141			KENDALL, CHUCK O	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2122	

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A Li 4i No	A1:4(-)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
055-14-4	09/687,033	GLASER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Chuck Kendall	2122			
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rition.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thin period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 03 August 2004.				
· _					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application Papers  1-21 is/are pending in the application is/are with some claim(s) is/are allowed.  1-21 is/are rejected.  1-21 is/are rejected.  2-21 is/are objected to.  3-21 is/are objected to.  3-21 is/are objected to.  4-21 is/are objected to.  4-21 is/are objected to.	ithdrawn from consideration.				
·· _	ominor				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		• •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)			
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/</li> </ul>		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Application/Control Number: 09/687,033 Page 2

Art Unit: 2122

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed on August 03, 2004.

2. Claims 1 – 21 are pending in this Application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 4, 8, 11, 15, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kenner (U.S. Patent Number 6,314,565) in view of Stedman et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,262,726) and further in view of Hsu (U.S. Patent Number 5,894,515).

In regard to Claim 1, Kenner teaches: (a) defining a configuration of the application program (Column 7, lines 5- 12); and (c) building the application program according to the configuration column 8, lines 30-4 1), as well as authenticating the particular user in response to the particular user requesting the application program (4:65 – 5: 5, also see 8: 1 – 5, which shows verifying user name before permitting download (i.e., authentication)). Kenner does not expressly disclose defining user configuration of the application program corresponding to a particular user of the application program, although Kenner does disclose a software update tool, which "analyzes configuration information from the user terminal" (4:54 – 58), and also being able to advise the user regarding the availability, of software which can be used to upgrade software preexisting on the user's terminal (4:58 – 61). Stedman, however, does teach storing user configurations of an operating system for the purposes of application customization (column 6, lines 58-62). Neither Kenner nor Stedman teach encrypting the configuration in a manifest file, authorizing a user in response to a user

Application/Control Number: 09/687,033

**Art Unit: 2122** 

request for the application program, and decrypting the manifest file to produce a decrypted configuration. However, Hsu does teach encrypting data, authorizing a user, and in response to authorizing a user, decrypting the data (column 1, lines 13 – 21).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to perform the method of defining a configuration of the application program and building the application program according to a configuration, where the configuration is a user configuration, as taught by Stedman, since this allows different users to access different requested software from the same machine, and the configuration is encrypted in a manifest file, authorizing a user in response to a user request for the application program, and decrypting the manifest file to produce a decrypted configuration, as taught by Hsu, since this allows the manifest to remain secure and only viewable by a specific user.

Claims 8 and 15 are method and system Claims that correspond with article of manufacture Claim 1, and are rejected for the same reasons as Claim 1, where Kenner teaches a method (Figure 2) and system (Figure 1) for said article of manufacture of Claim 1.

For specific rejections of Claims 4,11, and 18, see the office action mailed on November 5<sup>th</sup>, 2003.

5. Claims 2, 3, 6, 7, 9, 10, 13, 14, 16, 17, 20, and 2 1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) as being unpatentable over Kenner (U.S. Patent Number 6,314,565) in view of Stedman et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,262,726) and further in view of Hsu (U.S. Patent Number 5,894,515) and Hayes, Jr. (U.S. Patent Number 6,205,476).

For specific rejections of Claim 2, 3, 6, 7, 9, 10, 13, 14, 16, 17, 20, and 21, see the office action mailed on November 5<sup>th</sup>, 2003.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 08/03/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See Examiner's response to arguments below.

Application/Control Number: 09/687,033

Art Unit: 2122

a) Argument (1), Applicant argues that in page 8 of Applicant's response dated 08/03/2004 that neither Kenner, Stedman nor Hsu discloses "authenticating the particular user in response to the particular user requesting the application program...".

Response (1), Examiner believes that prior art in fact does disclose this functionality. As set forth above in claims, and as disclosed in Kenner in column 4: 55 – 5: 5, and also in 8:1 – 5, Kenner teaches an updating tool which checks user configuration on a terminal during the process of updating. Kenner is able to suggest needed software based on a comparison made between user configuration files and a list of upgrades contained in a script file and further, being able to permit downloading of requested applications based on verification of user's configuration i.e., a user name (authentication).

### Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2122

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuck Kendall whose telephone number is 571-2723698. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 am - 6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Dam can be reached on 571-2723695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CK.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER